

**MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**

April 14, 2009

DIVISION TWO

B207343 Duval (Not for Publication)
v.
Superior Court, Los Angeles County
William

The petition for writ of mandate is denied.

Doi Todd, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.
 Chavez, J.

B210097 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Esquivias

The judgment is affirmed.

Doi Todd, Acting P.J.

We concur: Ashmann-Gerst, J.
Chavez, J.

April 14, 2009 (Continued)

DIVISION TWO (continued)

[illegible]

The judgment is reversed. On remand, the trial court shall vacate the order denying appellant's motion to suppress evidence (§ 1538.5) and enter a new order granting the motion to suppress.

Doi Todd, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.
 Ashmann-Gerst, J.

DIVISION THREE

Court convened at 9:30 a.m.

Present: Klein, P.J., Croskey, J., Kitching, J., Aldrich, J. and Valorie Gray, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B211327 Children and Family Services v. A.V.
B212046 Children and Family Services v. A.V.
B206198 People v. Boyden
B208557 People v. Quintanilla
B208124 People v. Henry
B201488 People v. Pellegrin
B204172 People v. Gray

Argument waived, cause submitted.

B201595 E & N Financial Services & Development, Inc.
v.
Anderson

Merits:
Argued by Calvin House for appellant and by William Raff for respondent.
Cause submitted.

DIVISION THREE (continued)

B202119 Neithercut, et al.
 v.
 Featherlite, Inc., et al.

Merits:

Argued by Andrew N. Chang and Tracy L. Hughes for appellants and by Edmund G. Farrell for respondents. Cause submitted.

B209550 Vigilant Insurance Company
 v.
 Chiu

Merits:

Argued by Megan Anne Richmond for appellant and by Joseph Tabrisky for respondent. Cause submitted.

B204844 County of Los Angeles
 v.
 American Contractors Indemnity Co.

Merits:

Argued by E. Alan Nunez for appellant and by Brian T. Chu, deputy county counsel for respondent. Cause submitted.

Court recessed.

Court reconvened at 1:30 p.m.

Present: Klein, P.J., Croskey, J., Kitching, J., Aldrich, J. and Valorie Gray, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B201986 People v. Salais
B210141 Children and Family Services v. F.C., et al.
B206935 Watkins v. Compton Unified School District

Argument waived, cause submitted.

DIVISION THREE (continued)

B207875 Martinez, et al.
 v.
 Konialian

Merits:

Argued by L. Rae Connet for appellants and by Ashfaq G. Chowdhury for respondent. Cause submitted.

B204702 Franeschi
 v.
 Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., et al.

Merits:

Argued by Ernest Franceschi, Jr. for appellant and by Shirley A. Gauvin for respondents. Cause submitted.

B213044 Safeco Insurance Company of America
 v.
 S.C.L.A.
 Karnan, et al.

Merits:

Argued by Steven Weinstein for petitioner and by Jay Angoff for real parties in interest. Cause submitted.

B205343 Amezcua, et al.
 v.
 El Pollo Loco, Inc.

Merits:

Argued by Leigh A. White for appellant and by Mikael Stahle for respondents. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

April 14, 2009 (Continued)

DIVISION THREE (continued)

B198713 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Christian De La Vega

The abstract of judgment is ordered to reflect that the sentence imposed on count 7 for criminal threats included a three-year term for the gun use enhancement under section 12022.5, subdivision (a). The clerk of the superior court is directed to correct the abstract of judgment and to forward the corrected abstract of judgment to the Department of Corrections. The judgment is affirmed as modified.

Aldrich, J.

We concur: Klein, P.J.

DIVISION FOUR

B204510 People (Not for Publication)
v.
Zaldivar

The judgment is reversed with directions to the trial court to conduct an in camera review of complaints, regarding Officers Armando and Brown, relating to fabrication of charges, fabrication of evidence, fabrication of reasonable suspicion and/or probable cause, perjury, dishonesty, writing of false police reports, false or misleading internal reports, and any other evidence of misconduct amounting to moral turpitude. If the hearing reveals no discoverable information in the officers' personnel files which would lead to admissible evidence helpful to defendant's defense, the trial court shall reinstate the original judgment and sentence which shall stand affirmed. If the in camera hearing reveals discoverable information bearing on the officers' honesty which could lead to admissible evidence helpful to appellant in defense of the charge, the trial court shall grant the requested discovery, allow appellant an opportunity to demonstrate prejudice, and order a new trial if prejudice is demonstrated.

Willhite, J.

We concur: Epstein, P.J.
Suzukawa, J.

April 14, 2009 (Continued)

DIVISION EIGHT

B212698 Los Angeles Countuy D.C.F.S.
B213944 v
 T.D.

Filed order consolidating above captioned appeals.